TREE RISK ASSESSMENT FORM

		RISK RATING:		
	N	1 1 2 4		
·		Failure + Size + Target = Hazard Potential of part Rating Rating		
	ate: X unknown: other:	Immediate action needed		
	pr: Bill Leake	Needs further inspection		
Date of last inspection:		Dead tree		
TREE CHARACTERI	STICS			
Tree #: 2 Species: S	Sugar Maple (Acer Saccharum)	······		
DBH: 30" # of trunks: 1	Height: 60' Spread: 35'			
Form: \Box generally symmetric	ric $oxtimes$ minor asymmetry \Box major asymmetry \Box stump sprou	ut 🗆 stag-headed		
Crown class: dominant	\boxtimes co-dominant \Box intermediate \Box suppressed			
Live crown ratio: 95 %	Age class: □ young □ semi-mature ⊠ mature □ over-m	ature/senescent		
	eaned \Box excessively thinned \Box topped \boxtimes crown raised \Box pollar ced \Box none \Box multiple pruning events Approx. dates: 2018			
Special Value: Specimen	🛿 heritage/historic 🗆 wildlife 🗆 unusual 🗆 street tree 🗆 screen	\Box shade \Box indigenous \boxtimes protected by gov. agency		
TREE HEALTH				
	□ chlorotic □ necrotic Epicormics; □	Growth obstructions:		
Foliage density:	□normal □sparse Leaf size: □ normal □ small	□ stakes □ wire/ties □ signs □ cables		
Annual shoot growth:	\Box excellent \boxtimes average \Box poor \Box none Twig Dieback: \Box	-		
Woundwood :	□ excellent ⊠average □ fair □ poor			
Vigor class:	□ excellent ⊠average □ fair □ poor			
Major pests/diseases:	None			
SITE CONDITIONS	S			
Site Character: 🛛 resid	ence 🗆 commercial 🗆 industrial 🗆 park 🗆 open space 🛛	□ natural □woodland/forest		
Landscape type: 🗆 parl	kway \square raised bed \square container \square mound \boxtimes lawn \square shr	ub border 🗆 wind break		
Irrigation: 🛛 none 🛛 ade	equate 🗆 inadequate 🗆 excessive 🛛 trunk wetted			
Recent site disturbance?	NO \Box construction \Box soil disturbance \Box grade change \Box	□ herbicide treatment		
% dripline paved: 0% Pa	vement lifted: NO			
% dripline w/ fill soil: 0%				
% dripline grade lowered	: 0%			
	\square shallow \boxtimes compacted \square droughty \square saline \square alkaline \square a pansive \square slope ° aspect:	cidic \square small volume \square disease center \square history of fai		
Conflicts: 🗆 lights 🗆 signag	ge 🗆 line-of-sight 🗆 view 🗆 overhead lines 🗆 underground utilit	ies 🗆 traffic 🗆 adjacent veg. 🗆		
Exposure to wind: 🛛 single	e tree \Box below canopy \Box above canopy \Box recently exposed \Box w	indward, canopy edge 🗆 area prone to windthrow		
Prevailing wind direction	:SWOccurrence of snow/ice storms \Box never \boxtimes set	dom 🗆 regularly		
TARGET				

 Use Under Tree: ⊠ building ⊠ parking □ traffic □ pedestrian □ recreation □ landscape ⊠ hardscape □ small features ⊠ utility lines

 Can target be moved? NO
 Can use be restricted? NO

 Occupancy: □ occasional use ⊠ intermittent use □ frequent use □ constant use

TREE DEFECTS ______

ROUT DEFECTS:				
Suspect root rot: NO Mushroom/conk/bracket present: NO ID:				
Exposed roots: \Box severe \Box moderate \boxtimes lowUndermined: \Box severe \Box moderate \boxtimes low				
Root pruned: NO distance from trunk Root area affected: Buttress wounded: U When:				
Restricted root area: □ severe □ moderate ⊠ low Potential for root failure: □ severe □ moderate ⊠ low				
LEAN: 2 deg. from vertical \square natural \square unnatural \square self-corrected \square Soil heaving:				
Decay in plane of lean: Roots broken: Soil cracking: Soil cracking:				
Compounding factors: Lean severity: 🗆 severe 🗆 moderate 🖂 low				

CROWN DEFECTS: Indicate presence of individual defects and rate their severity (**S** = severe, **M** = moderate, **L** = low)

DEFECT	ROOT CROWN	TRUNK	SCAFFOLDS	BRANCHES
Poor taper				
Bow, sweep				
Codominant/forks				
Multiple attachments				
Included bark			М	
Excessive end weight				
Cracks/splits				
Hangers				
Girdling	L			
Wounds/seam				
Decay				
Cavity				
Conks/mushrooms/bracket				
Bleeding/sap flow				
Loose/cracked bark				
Nesting hole/bee hive				
Deadwood/stubs				
Borers/termites/ants				
Cankers/galls/burls				
Previous failure				

HAZARD RATING _____

Failure potential:1 - low:2 - medium;3 - high;4 - severeSize of part:1 - <6"</th>2 - 6-18"3 - 18-30"4 - >30"Target rating:1 - occasional use2 - intermittent use3 - frequent use4 - constant use

HAZARD ABATEMENT

Failure Potential +	Size of Part +	Target Rating	= Hazard Rating
1	1	2	4

Tree part most likely to fail: Branches _____

 \Box none \Box remove defective part \boxtimes reduce end weight \boxtimes crown clean \Box thin \Box raise canopy \Box crown reduce \Box restructure \Box cable/brace

Inspect further \Box root crown \Box decay \Box aerial \Box monitor

□ Remove tree □ When replaced, a similar sized tree species would be appropriate in same location

If removed, alternate tree replacement locations are available

Effect on adjacent trees: \square none \square evaluate

Notification:	🛛 owner	🗆 manager	🛛 🖂 governing	g agency	Date: 12/16/19
---------------	---------	-----------	---------------	----------	----------------

COMMENTS ____

This tree has a no structural defects. The proposed grading may impact the root system of this tree.

Bill Leake